



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/782,029 | 02/19/2004 | Michael Scott Prodoehl | 9548 | 5615 |

27752 7590 05/10/2006

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE
CINCINNATI, OH 45224

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

COLE, ELIZABETH M

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1771

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/782,029 | PRODOEHL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Elizabeth M. Cole | 1771 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,10-14,16,17,19,21,22 and 25-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,10-14,16,17,19,21,22 and 25-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

Art Unit: 1771

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/27/06 has been entered.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 11, 12, 16-17, 19, 21-22, 25-27, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Trokhan, U.S. Patent No. 4,637,859. Trokhan discloses a tissue product having a basis weight of 9-95 gsm, wherein the tissue comprises an undulating surface of low density pillow regions and high density knuckle regions. See col. 19, line 67 – col. 20, lines 14. The basis weight of the sheet can either be uniform or different but the densities or the two regions are always different so that the pillow regions have a lower

Art Unit: 1771

density than the knuckles. See col. 19, lines 1-53. The tissue can further comprise wet strength additives. See col. 4, lines 23-59. With regard to the fiber length set forth in claims 16 and 30, it is noted that bleached northern softwood kraft pulp has an average fiber length of approximately 1.07 mm. See col. 5, lines 45-52 of Skoog et al, U.S.

Patent No. 6,17,370. It is noted that Skoog et al is not part of the rejection but is cited to show the length of the fibers already disclosed in Trokhan. Trokhan teaches that the tissue product can further comprise additional layers. See col. 21, lines 25-29. . With regard to the claimed modulus to tensile strength ratio and maximum stretch, Trokhan et al does not disclose these values per se, however, Trokhan et al does appear to disclose the same structure. When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention the examiner has basis for shifting the burden of proof to applicant as in *In re Fitzgerald*, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § § 2112-2112.02. Since Trokhan et al appears to disclose the identical structure but does not explicitly recite the claimed properties, the burden is shifted to Applicant to show that the Trokhan et al material does not possess the claimed properties.

5. Claims 13-14, 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trokhan, U.S. Patent No. 4,637,859 as applied to claims 1-2, 4, 6, 11, 12, 16-17, 19, 21-22, 25-27, 30 above, and further in view of Phan et al, U.S. Patent No.

6,328,850. Trokhan discloses a tissue product as set forth above. Trokhan differs from the claimed invention because Trokhan does not disclose that the tissue can have more

than one layer wherein fibers having a length of greater than equal to 1.5 mm is positioned between two layers having an average fiber length of less than 1.5 mm.

Phan et al discloses a multi-layered paper product wherein an inner layer may comprise relatively long fibers having a length of 2.5 mm or greater which is sandwiched by outer layers comprising fibers having a length of less than 1.5 mm. See col. 4, lines 37 – col. 3, line 10. Phan teaches that this distribution of fiber lengths in a tissue product produces a tissue having excellent softness, strength and bulk. See col. 2, lines 55-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the paper sheet of Trokhan so that it had fibrous layers having the fiber lengths taught by Phan, motivated by the expectation that this would produce a tissue having excellent softness, strength and bulk.

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

7. Applicant's amendments to the claims have overcome the 112 2nd paragraph rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 1771

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Elizabeth M. Cole", written in a cursive style.

Elizabeth M. Cole
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1771

e.m.c